

ITALIAN VILLAGE COMMISSION AGENDA

Tuesday, June 16, 2015

6:00 p.m.

50 W. Gay St. – First Floor - Conference Room B

Applicants or their representatives must attend this meeting. If applicants are absent it is likely that the application will be continued until the Commission's next meeting. If you have any questions, please call Connie Torbeck (645-0664) in the City's Historic Preservation Office. If you need assistance in participating in this meeting due to a disability under the ADA, please call the City's ADA Coordinator at (614) 645-6504 or email raisbell@columbus.gov at least three (3) business days prior to the scheduled meeting or event to request an accommodation.

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. NEXT COMMISSION MONTHLY BUSINESS MEETING – 12:00 pm (Noon), Tuesday, July 14, 2015 – 50 W. Gay St. – First Floor - Conference Room A
- III. NEXT COMMISSION MEETING – 6:00 pm, Tuesday, July 21, 2015 – 50 W. Gay St. – First Floor - Conference Room B
- IV. SWEAR IN STAFF
- V. INTRODUCTION OF COMMISSIONERS PRESENT
- VI. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – Tuesday, May 19, 2015.
- VII. PUBLIC FORUM
- VIII. STAFF APPROVALS
- IX. APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

1. 15-6-10

819 Summit Street

Densil Porteous (Applicant)

Densil Porteous & Dewit Matthew (Owners)

Item #1 has been moved to the Staff Approved applications following presentation by Historic Preservation Office Staff at the June 9th, 2015 IVC Business Meeting (See Staff Approvals, below).

Install New Windows

2. 15-6-12

942 Mt. Pleasant Avenue

Clayton Toth/JVB Group, Inc. (Applicant)

Thomas A. Armistead (Owner)

Item #2 has been moved to the Staff Approved applications following presentation by Historic Preservation Office Staff at the June 9th, 2015 IVC Business Meeting (See Staff Approvals, below).

Rebuild Front Porch Piers

3. 15-6-13

Multiple Locations

Short North Alliance (Applicant)

Multiple Owners (Owner)

Item #3 has been moved to the Staff Approved applications following presentation by Historic Preservation Office Staff at the June 9th, 2015 IVC Business Meeting (See Staff Approvals, below).

Install New Murals

HOLDOVERS

IT IS ESTIMATED THAT THE FOLLOWING APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE HEARD BEFORE 6:15 P.M

4. 15-4-20c

936 North Fourth Street

Nick Gore (Applicant/Owner)

This application was continued from the April 21st IVC hearing to allow time to submit revised drawings. The application was continued from the May 19th hearing in the absence of and at the request of the applicant. No new materials have been submitted. Applicant will not attend.

New Awning Signage

- Install new, black canvas on existing awning frame.
- Frame to be painted black.
- Awning signage to read, "GOREMADE PIZZA" on the slope of the awning, and "936 NORTH FOURTH STREET" on the front awning flap.

5. 15-4-29 (New Application/Exterior Alteration)

1044 North High Street

Brian Swanson (Applicant)

Fred Maloof (Owner)

This application was continued from the April 21st IVC hearing to allow time to submit revised drawings. The application was continued from the May 19th hearing in the absence of and at the request of the applicant. No new materials have been submitted. Applicant will not attend.

Install New NanaWall Window System

- Remove existing storefront windows, and install new folding window system.

IT IS ESTIMATED THAT THE FOLLOWING APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE HEARD BEFORE 6:05 P.M

6. 15-5-17 (Variance Recommendation)

200 East Fourth Avenue

Andrew Losinske (Applicant)

Heidi Koestner (Owner)

This application was continued from the May 19th IVC hearing to allow time for the Applicant to research off-site parking options.

Variance Recommendation

- 3312.49 – which requires a minimum number of parking spaces.
- Approximately forty spaces are required for the 3000 sq. ft. building.
- Three parking spaces exist behind the proposed restaurant, and eight spaces existing on the east side of the building.

7. 15-4-38

920 North High Street

Sketch Blue on behalf of Donatos (Applicant)

Emerald Light Investments, Ltd. (Owner)

This application was reviewed and partially approved at the May 19th IVC hearing, with applicant to return for final review and approval of the Painted Vertical Logo and the Drive-Thru Wall Graphics. Applicant requests to be continued to the July 21st IVC hearing.

Painted Vertical Logotype/West Elevation/Donatos

- Grey logotype to be painted directly onto black brick, per the submitted rendering. (Total approx. 84 sq. ft.).
- Sign to have “distressed” appearance.
- Placement to be coordinated with installation of any building lighting and window mullions to ensure proper alignment.

Drive-Thru/Wall Graphics/East Elevation/Donatos

- Install laser cut, brick grip, vinyl graphics, applied directly to exterior wall surface, per the submitted renderings. (Total approx. 239 sq. ft.).
- Graphics to read “PIZZA LOVE – CRAVEABLE – COMMUNITY – 1963.”

IT IS ESTIMATED THAT THE FOLLOWING APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE HEARD BEFORE 6:15 P.M

8. 15-6-16 (Conceptual Review)

930 & 940 North High Street

H. Christopher Friend/Schooley Caldwell Assoc. (Applicant)

The Brunner Building, LLC (Owner)

This application was conceptually reviewed at the August 19, 2014 IVC hearing. Demolition of the existing 930 & 940 N. High buildings and excavation and foundation work were approved at the May 19th hearing. An application, site plan, renderings, and photographs have been submitted.

New Construction

- Construct a new six (6) story, mixed use building, per the submitted drawings.
- First floor to be retail space fronting High Street.
- Second floor to be office space.
- Floors three through five to include ten (1) residential units each.
- The set back sixth floor to include two (2) penthouse suites and a clubhouse with rooftop terrace.

The following is from the August 2014 IVC hearing:

Commissioner Comments

Jason Sudy:

- *Would like to see a rendering that includes the facades of the proposed Donato’s (920 N. High St.) and other adjacent buildings.*
- *Thinks that traditional architecture works fine in this location. The proposed approach is respectful of the style being emulated, and does not appear as a false approach. The massing and scale seem in accordance with the intent of the Short North Guidelines, but will need more details about the fifth floor.*
- *Appreciates the Applicants attention to the treatment of the alley. The details for the entrances and exits will be significant.*
- *Need to be sensitive to the existing light well of the adjacent building.*
- *As new construction, there is more latitude regarding door/window/storefront materials, but keeping within this historic vernacular seems like a good direction.*
- *Thinks that the existing building at 940 N. High Street does have character, but not enough to outweigh the infill of these lots.*

Ben Goodman:

- *Would not be supportive of materials such as EIFS and break metal.*
- *Appreciates the inset entrances, as an homage to historic storefronts.*
- *Very supportive of the proposed, raised brick branding (Brunner 2015) of the building within the cornice.*

- Looks fantastic. Looking forward to the next phase.

Joshua Lapp:

- Agrees that traditional architecture is a good approach here. Is sure the construction of the building will be high quality based on the Applicant's building across the street (Wood Co./941 N. High St.).
- The building at 930 N. High has the appearance of newer construction, or has been encased with modern alterations.
- Based on the staff report, the building at 940 N. High was built ca.1940. More consideration will need to be given to whether that makes it a historic building. Construction of a new one story building on the site would not be considered appropriate today.
- This is currently a very challenging section of High Street for walkability.

David Cooke:

- Both buildings are currently in use, not abandoned or in disrepair. They have some historic value as part of the auto-related history of the neighborhood. However, the trade off in losing these two buildings is that the proposed building would finish the line, fill in the "lost tooth," and bring the whole block back to a pedestrian street, which was a major goal of the Short North Guidelines.
- Appreciates that the long curb cut will be removed.
- The proposed building appears to have sufficient parking for all residents. Parking for the commercial spaces may be of concern, depending on the business tenants.
- Will still need details and discussion regarding a fifth floor. Would not be supportive of an all glass fifth floor.

Charmaine Sutton:

- Is in general agreement with comments of fellow Commissioners.
- Has some concerns about the loss of the existing buildings.

Rex Hagerling:

- The building at 940 N. High retains a bit of historic character, but the building at 930 N. High does not. As currently sited on the lots, these buildings are set back from the rest of the buildings along the street and have parking at the front of the lots, which is not consistent with the goals of the Short North Guidelines.
- In favor of traditional architecture if done well. Is confident, based on the Applicant's building across the street, that it will be done well.
- In general, is supportive of the proposed project.

Note: Historic Preservation Office staff noted that Historic American Building Survey (HABS) type documentation is generally required prior to demolition of any building that has any historic or architectural significance, including a historical narrative and detailed photographs.

NO ACTION TAKEN

IT IS ESTIMATED THAT THE FOLLOWING APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE HEARD BEFORE 6:30 P.M

9. 15-6-17 (Variance Recommendation)

808 Summit Street (formerly 808-810)

Connie J. Klema, Attny. (Applicant)

Marion Risberg (Owner)

This application was conceptually reviewed at the April 21, 2015 IVC hearing. An application, site plan, and statement of hardship have been submitted.

Request for Variance Recommendation

To permit the existing parcel to be divided into two lots referred to herein as North Lot and South Lot:

1. 3332.039: (North & South Lots) To permit three dwelling units to be in two structures on one lot.
2. 3332.15:

North Lot: To permit three (3) dwelling units in two buildings to be situated on a lot which equals less than 2500 square feet per dwelling unit and to be 1466 square feet per unit and with the 3:1 requirement, 1024 square feet per unit.

South Lot: To permit three (3) dwelling units in two buildings to be situated on a lot which equals less than 2500 square feet per dwelling unit and to be 1635 square feet per unit and with the 3:1 requirement, 1225 square feet per unit.

3. 3332.19: (North & South Lots) To permit a dwelling to not front on a public street.

4. 3332.27:

North Lot: To permit a dwelling to have less than 25% rear yard and to have no rear yard.

South Lot: To permit a dwelling to have less than 25% rear yard and to have no rear yard; and to permit a principal building to have less than 25% rear yard and to have 22%.

5. 3332.28: (North and South Lots) To permit paved parking spaces to be part of the required rear yard calculation.

6. 3332.38(F): (North and South Lots) To permit the square footage of a private garage to exceed 720 square feet and be 840 square feet.

7. 3312.25: (North and South Lots) To permit maneuvering to occur in the area of a stacked parking space.

8. 3312.29: (North and South Lots) To permit 2 car stacked parking.

10. 15-6-18 (New Application)

808 Summit Street (formerly 808-810)

Connie J. Klema, Attny. (Applicant)

Marion Risberg (Owner)

This application was conceptually reviewed at the April 21, 2015 IVC hearing. An application, site plan, renderings, and photographs have been submitted. Applicant requests final approval.

New Construction

Lot One/North

- Construct a new, two-unit residence and a new, coach house residence, per the submitted site plan.

Lot Two/South

- Retain existing residence at 808-810 Summit Street.
- Construction of a new coach house residence will be submitted at a future Italian Village Commission meeting.

The following is from the April 21, 2015 IVC hearing:

Commissioner Comments

Josh Lapp:

- *Does not find the demolition of the modern garages to be an issue.*
- *The existing garages are fairly tall. Based on the submitted photographs, the height of a carriage house on the same sites would not seem inappropriate.*
- *The proposed new house does not need to match the existing in style. It could have a contemporary flare.*

David Cooke:

- *Has concerns about paving lot line to lot line at the rear apron on the alley. Would encourage permeable pavers.*
- *Will need to be sensitive to the height of the proposed coach house residences.*
- *It is a positive that the new garages would be off-set from the alley, improving the alleyway.*
- *The coach houses will not need to be twins. Would be good to have some variety between them.*

Todd Boyer:

- *The proposed coach houses are almost as big as the houses. The architecture will need to reflect the different scale of the two types of building.*

Ben Goodman

- *Thinks the proposed lot split is appropriate.*

NO ACTION TAKEN

11. 15-6-19 (Conceptual Review)

848 Pearl Alley (formerly 808-810)

Connie J. Klema, Attny. (Applicant)

Wood Run Partners, LLC (Owner)

This application was conceptually reviewed at the August 19, December 16, 2014 and January 20, 2015 IVC hearings. An application, site plan, renderings, and photographs have been submitted.

New Construction

- Construct a new, five story building, per the submitted site plan and renderings.
- Exterior materials to include standing seam metal and cementitious panels, and ground-face, stack bond block.
- Windows and storefront systems to be aluminum.
- New building to include first floor parking space with painted, metal, barstock grating and aluminum and glass garage door.

The following is from the January 20, 2015 IVC hearing:

Commissioner Comments

David Cooke:

- *Appreciates the changes in the base of the building. Learning that the building is being set back farther on the east, west, and north to allow for more space on the street is very important.*
- *Very excited and supportive of the art work which adds a pop of color on the corner. The art could be ground lit and provide signature signage for the building without using a building name. It fits in with the art district theme of the neighborhood and is pedestrian friendly.*
- *Still not clear on exactly where the front entrance is located. Perhaps a color out of the graphic, or a shape that connects it to the art could help draw the eye to an entrance.*
- *The white corners (on Prescott toward High) seem not tied into the balconies or to the base. The line does not read all the way around as it does on the corner with the artwork.*
- *The use of any sunscreens/shades at the top of the building will need to be discussed.*

Josh Lapp:

- *Appreciates the openness along the alleyway.*
- *Could see more pieces of the building being used for art.*

Todd Boyer:

- *Nothing to add to the previous comments. The base seems the right way to go. Has no issues with the base.*
- *Will want to understand the logic for the locations and reasons for the balconies. The approach for the balconies to the neighboring buildings will be a concern.*

Rex Hagerling:

- *No additional comments.*
- *Is not really in favor of a building this big at this location, but will be interested to see the materials.*

Jason Sudy:

Commissioner Cooke read the following written comments, submitted by Commissioner Sudy prior to the meeting:

- *The “glass box” philosophy of design has certainly progressed favorably in the last two iterations. The details are still emerging, but the conceptual renderings so far are on track to successfully transition between the dense corridor of High Street and the lower-scale of neighborhoods east. That being said, the exploration of how the adjacent house and this building interrelate will be needed going forward.*
- *The renderings this month don’t show the backside facing the garage where earlier balconies looked right into the structure. It will be interesting to see how that evolves in the next round of design.*
- *The design approach to the northeast corner, while undetailed, seems to respond to earlier comments about creating transparency there.*

NO ACTION TAKEN

12. 15-6-20 (Conceptual Review) APPLICATION WITHDRAWN

963 North Sixth Street

Mulberry Design + Build, LLC (Applicant)

Autumnwood Homes, Inc. (Owner)

An application and photograph have been submitted.

Demolition and New Construction

- ~~First conceptual review for demolition of the existing ca. 1898, frame, single-family dwelling and construction of a new single-family dwelling.~~

IT IS ESTIMATED THAT THE FOLLOWING APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE HEARD BEFORE 7:05 P.M

13. 15-6-21 (Conceptual Review)

1050 & 1086 North Fourth Street

Tim Lai/Tim Lai ArchitecT (Applicant)

1086 N. Fourth Street LS LLC (Owner)

This application was conceptually reviewed at the March 17 and May 19, 2015 IVC meeting. A site visit was conducted by the Commission on May 4th. An application, photographs, and renderings have been submitted.

Demolition

- Demolish the one (1) existing, brick warehouse building located immediately adjacent to the rear of the two-story Budd Dairy building. Demolish the roof only of the next warehouse building to the east.
- Demolish the existing concrete block building at 1050 North Fourth Street.
- Demolish the existing, concrete block shed on E. Fourth Ave.
- Remove the existing billboard at N. Fourth St. and E. Greenwood Ave.

New Construction

- Retain and rehab the two, existing warehouses and courtyard at the east end of the property; to include approximately thirty (30) dwelling units and twenty-four (24) parking spaces.
- Construct four (4) new buildings, per the submitted site plan and renderings.
- New construction to include approximately 114 dwelling units, retail, and office space.

The following is from the May 19, 2015 IVC meeting:

Commissioner Comments

Jason Sudy:

- *Was much more impressed by the Budd Dairy warehouses than expected and was less impressed by the Durable Slate building (1050) than expected (Based on the site visit conducted by the Commissioners at 1050 & 1086 N. Fourth Street on May 4, 2015). The 1050 N. Fourth St. building shows a lot of deterioration, including the façade. Thinks it would be good to memorialize the stone "lightning bolts" if possible, but thinks the building could be demolished.*
- *The courtyard and most of the warehouse buildings of the Budd Dairy are very impressive and it feels "neighborhoody." Does not think the proposed replacement building has a neighborhood feeling. Thinks there are opportunities for reuse of the warehouses, even if some are for parking.*
- *Could support bigger buildings facing onto Fourth Street.*
- *Would be willing to look at additional openings on the warehouse buildings, especially where changes have already been made.*
- *Adding additional curb cuts would be a tough issue.*
- *Could see having one lower access point off of Fourth Avenue for several below grade parking spaces.*

David Cooke:

- *Did not tour the 1050 N. Fourth St. building. As a preservation commissioner, thinks the warehouses of the Budd Dairy are a "sacred cow." They are a part of the history of the area. They are unique examples of highly desirable buildings that give uniqueness to the neighborhood.*

Ben Goodman:

- *In agreement with comments of Commissioners Cooke and Sudy.*

- *Would be reluctant to support multiple new openings punched into the warehouse buildings.*

Josh Lapp:

- *Does like the idea of integrating parking into some pieces of the warehouses.*
- *Did not attend the site visit, but went to look at the 1050 N. Fourth St. building. Agrees that the building seems very deteriorated. Thinks the proposed design for new construction at 1050 N. Fourth Street seems appropriate. It recalls the character of the existing building but is not an exact replication.*
- *Understands that preserving the warehouse buildings will result in lower density than desired by the applicant, but thinks that greater density could be allowed in the front buildings.*

Rex Hagerling:

- *In general agreement with comments of fellow Commissioners.*
- *Thinks something cool could be done with the 1050 N. Fourth Street building, but is flexible on that building. The front is very deteriorated, but would want to see some pieces of the façade memorialized in some way.*
- *The Budd Dairy warehouse buildings are the very essence of Italian Village. Thinks the building that is directly behind the main building and is largely concrete block is the only one that could be demolished or changed or a pass through.*
- *Approval of any additional door openings on the warehouses would depend on the design.*
- *Reluctant to add stories to the warehouse buildings.*

Charmaine Sutton

- *In general agreement with comments of fellow commissioners.*
- *If the Durable Slate building is demolished, agrees it should be memorialized in some way, but would like to see the design pushed in some way. Doesn't have to look like the existing building with a second story.*
- *Does not have an issue with front-loading parking as long as it is done well.*

NO ACTION TAKEN

IT IS ESTIMATED THAT THE FOLLOWING APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE HEARD BEFORE 7:25 P.M

14. 15-6-22

933 Hamlet Street

Jason Kentner (Applicant)

St. Joseph Montessori School (Owner)

A new outdoor classroom area was approved in January 2015. An application, site plan, renderings, and photographs have been submitted.

Install Playground Equipment

- *Install new zip lines, monkey bars, balance beams, climbing walls, and slides, per the submitted site plan and photo renderings.*
- *Install three swing sets I pea-gravel area.*

Install New Running Track

- *Install a new running track, per the submitted site plan.*

Install Multi-Sport Field and Multi-Game Court

- *Install new sport field and game court within the perimeter running track.*

Install Rock Grove/Wildflower Garden/Bio-Swale (Conceptual)

- *Install sit-able and climbable stones around existing tree; wildflower garden; bio-swale with learning deck.*

IT IS ESTIMATED THAT THE FOLLOWING APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE HEARD BEFORE 7:45 P.M

15. 15-6-15a

898 Hamlet Street

Allan Hurtt (Applicant/Owner)

Application #15-6-15 has been divided into item 'a' for Italian Village Commission review under New Applications , and item 'b' for Staff Approval under Staff Approved items (see below). The application for new addition was conceptually reviewed at the April 21, 2015 IVC hearing. Staff has conducted a site visit to view windows.

Demolition

- Demolish the existing, one-story, frame addition.

New Construction

- Construct a new, two-story, frame addition, per the submitted site plan and elevation drawings.
- Siding to be cementitious siding.
- Doors and windows to be wood.
- Cut sheets for new windows, doors, and exterior lighting to be submitted to Historic Preservation Office staff for final review and approval, prior to issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness.

Install New Windows/Existing Dwelling

- Repair/ replace existing, wood, two-over-two, double-hung sash windows, as noted per the Historic Preservation Office staff June 3, 2015 site visit. Non-deteriorated windows will be retained and repaired, as needed.
- Install new, all wood (interior/exterior) two-over-two, double-hung sash windows. Cut sheets for all new windows to be submitted to Historic Preservation Office staff for final review and approval.

Install Rake Boards & Cedar Shingles

- Install new rake boards and cedar shingles in the front gable, per the submitted example photograph.
- Install new bay windows, per the submitted drawing.

The following is from the April 21st IVC hearing:

Commissioner Comments

Todd Boyer:

- *Originally thought the rear balcony should include more detail, such as a shed roof. But, it would then need to be a much more substantial balcony.*
- *Could be convinced of the balcony, but first reaction is to have a covering over the first floor doors rather than a balcony as a covering. The scale of the proposed balcony is intimate and obviously not a public event balcony.*
- *Thinks it is appropriate for the roof of the addition to keep the same pitch as the existing two-story house rather than matching the peak line.*
- *Even though the historic shed addition will be lost, the proposed roof of the addition maintains the character of the original house.*

Ben Goodman:

- *The rear balcony seems high to act as a cover to the first floor. Would seem nice to have some sort of historically appropriate shed roof over the rear, first floor doors.*
- *Could the second story balcony be recessed instead of cantilevered?*
- *Is okay with the removal of the existing rear addition. Incorporating details such as exposed rafter tails on the new addition will help maintain the character of the house.*

Josh Lapp:

- *It appears that the door and door hood of the existing shed addition are not original.*
- *Additions have been made to houses historically. Adding another addition is a normal progression.*

NO ACTION TAKEN

IT IS ESTIMATED THAT THE FOLLOWING APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE HEARD BEFORE 8:00 P.M

16. 15-5-22

995 North Fourth Street

Brad Parish/Architectural Alliance (Applicant)

Capitol Equities (Owner)

This application was conceptually reviewed at the May 19, 2015 IVC meeting. Site improvements to the front/east parking lot were approved at the May 4, 2015 special meeting, with revised drawings to be reviewed by Commissioner Sudy for final review. An application, site plan, photographs, and elevation drawings have been submitted. Applicant seeks final approval.

Renovations to Brick Building

- Paint previously painted exterior walls.
- Install new windows on all elevations.
- Install new storefront and aluminum/glass overhead door on the front/east elevation.
- Install new aluminum/glass overhead door on the rear/west elevation.
- Install new, recessed storefront on rear/west elevation.
- Install new fiber cement board siding on rear and side elevations, per the submitted drawings.

Install New Patio

- Install a new outdoor seating area, per the submitted site plan.
- Patio floor to be stamped concrete in running bond pattern.
- Install new wrought iron fence, per the submitted site plan.
- Install new, wood trellis, per the submitted site plan.

Renovations to Concrete Block Building

- Paint previously painted, exterior concrete block walls, and install new horizontal, corrugated metal siding and EIFS, as noted on all elevations, per the submitted drawings.
- Install new metal canopies, as noted on all elevations, per the submitted drawings.
- Install new aluminum storefront windows and doors, as noted on all elevations, per the submitted drawings.
- Install new signage on east, north, and west elevations.

West Parking Lot

- Pave area on the west side of the lots, to provide 42 parking spaces, per the submitted site plan.
- Install new fence and landscaping, per the submitted site plan.
- Install new trash enclosure, per the submitted site plan.

Following is from the May 19, 2015 IVC meeting:

Commissioner Comments

David Cooke:

- *Thinks that the existing brick building and the existing concrete block building should each retain their own separate history and character and should not be connected by use of the same materials.*
- *Thinks the proposed signage canopy on the façade of the brick building is not the right solution.*
- *A brick or wrought iron fence may be appropriate for the brick building.*
- *Will need to think about trash pickup and dumpster locations.*
- *Thinks that creativity is shown in breaking down the scale of the very large concrete block building into four separate retail spaces. Encourages colors and materials on the block building be very different from the brick building. The proposed design is a good graphic solution to wrapping a rather uninteresting building in a way that could draw some positive attention. Thinks the design speaks retail.*
- *Because this building is set back from Fourth Street, thinks that some lighting could be used beneath the canopies to create a wash on the storefronts.*

- *A final plan for any surface parking lot would need to include sidewalks to make the area walkable for pedestrians.*

Ben Goodman:

- *Does not have major concerns about the proposed addition to the brick building. Using a contrasting exterior cladding material is appropriate.*
- *Consider whether some features of the rear, second story window could be retained.*
- *Agrees with Commissioner Hagerling regarding restoration of the façade of the gabled section of the brick building.*
- *Agrees with Commissioner Cooke regarding the creative design for the concrete block building.*
- *The signage sort of appears like postage stamps on top of an already cool feature. Thinks the “Fourth” and “Third” on the corner works better (dimensional letters). Or, just make each storefront in full color.*
- *More texture on the letters may work well.*
- *Would want the fencing to be solid rather than tube aluminum.*
- *Start thinking about how lighting will work with the storefronts.*
- *Not sure that it would continue to stay in place for ten years or more if a parking lot was installed at these lots.*
- *Could be supportive of a lot on these sites if it could be developed in a way to provide a few parallel spaces that could be used by community residents. Thinks there are ways to get to a solution. Paving materials would have an impact on a decision for a lot.*
- *Likes the idea of getting a sidewalk onto Hamlet Street or Punta Alley.*

Rex Hagerling:

- *Thinks that windows on the brick building should be wood to match the original material. Something like the existing windows of the Berry Bolt building could be appropriate.*
- *Requests that the applicant do further study on the (right) carriage opening on the façade of the brick building, where a segmental arch is visible above the opening.*
- *Would like to see the gable section of the brick building restored more to its original appearance. If the building is to be painted, some altering of the masonry could be covered with paint.*
- *The left carriage door opening could be glass but there are ways it could be a bit more in keeping with the character of the building.*
- *In general agreement with Commissioners Cooke and Goodman regarding the design of the concrete block building. Would like to see the more historic section of the rear wall preserved in some way. Would not make that section radically different from the brick building.*
- *Thinks the proposed multi-light windows for the block building give it some interest.*
- *If there was some space along Third Avenue for some small structures, it would be a lot easier to look at it favorably.*

Josh Lapp:

- *Thinks the proposed color is for the brick building is appropriate.*
- *No comments regarding the concrete block building.*
- *Thinks that a surface lot could work with a portion reserved as green space/landscaping. Not all hardscaped.*
- *Can think of no other context where the Commission has approved a large scale parking lot directly adjacent to single family homes.*

Jason Sudy:

- *If painting the brick building is determined to be appropriate, would not be in favor of using a color to match the existing brick color.*
- *The size of the window openings on the brick building need to remain the same size, not extended to the ground.*
- *Not too concerned about the proposed, large glass door in the front (left) carriage door opening on the façade of the brick building, because it appears that the opening may have been modified multiple times.*
- *No issues with the design of the concrete block building. Would rather see the lots developed.*
- *If a parking lot was to be located at the rear of the buildings, thinks the proposed screening and fencing would be the way to go, but is not convinced that is what should happen on these lots.*

- Has concerns about creating a long term, permanently paved parking lot. Does not think it is the right thing to do
- Has concerns about creating a paid lot for use by businesses at other locations.
- Does not think a surface lot in a location fronting existing residents on neighborhood scale street is appropriate. Thinks it is preferable for a surface lot to be bordered by an alley in the rear or developed in a fashion where the lot would be screened by other buildings.

NO ACTION TAKEN

IT IS ESTIMATED THAT THE FOLLOWING APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE HEARD BEFORE 8:50 P.M

17. 15-6-24

No Address (formerly 1066-1068 Hamlet/SE Corner of Detroit and Hamlet)

Karrick Sherrill/Shremshock Architects (Applicant)

Detroit LLC/Lykens Companies (Owner)

This application was conditionally approved at the March 17, 2015 IVC meeting, with final drawings and materials to be submitted, per the comments at the March 17th meeting. Applicant seeks final approval.

New Construction

- Construct a new, two-story, four unit, wood frame townhome building with detached, four space garage.
- Exterior siding to be 6" fiber cement, lap siding.
- Windows to be aluminum-clad wood, one-over-one, double-hung windows.
- Cut sheets for windows, doors, and exterior lighting to be submitted to Historic Preservation Office staff for final review and approval, prior to issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness.

The following is from the March 17, 2015 IVC meeting:

Based on the revised drawings submitted for the March 17, 2015 Italian Village Commission meeting, the Commission grants conditional approval of Application #14-10-20, No Address (formerly 1066-1068 Hamlet/SE Corner of Detroit and Hamlet), for the construction of a new, two-story, four unit, wood frame townhome building with detached, four space garage, in regard to the following items, and with all clarifications, as noted.:

- *Site plan, footprint, use, scale, height, massing, density, and parking.*
- *The Commission encourages the Applicant to consider a change in plane between the frame section and the glass/porch section along Hamlet Street.*
- *The Commission encourages the Applicant to consider a change in height between the frame section and the glass/porch section.*

Note: A Certificate of Appropriateness will be issued upon final approval by the Italian Village Commission of elevation drawings and any/all details, building materials, and finishes.

MOTION: Lapp/Sudy (6-0-0) CONDITIONAL APPROVAL GRANTED

18. 15-6-25

1171-1175 Summit Street

Karrick Sherrill/Shremshock Architects (Applicant)

Detroit LLC/Lykens Companies (Owner)

This building was conceptually reviewed at the March 17, 2015 IVC meeting, as part of the overall Burwell Station development. Revised site plan, floor plans, and elevation drawings have been submitted. Applicant seeks final approval.

New Construction/Burwell Station

- Construct a new, three-story, wood frame, mixed use building , with retail at grade and four (4) dwelling units above.
- Exterior cladding to be brick with cast stone banding.
- Windows to be aluminum.
- Parking will be on the existing and repaired asphalt lot at the current elevation. Access to be by the existing curb cut.

Commissioner Comments

Jason Sudy:

- *Thinks the siting of the building is in the right direction, in context with the Burwell Court building.*
- *Based on previous discussions, thinks the curb cut on Summit Street makes a lot of sense in this particular location.*
- *The step back on Summit blends the building back into the neighborhood. Thinks the setback on Fifth is also sufficient.*
- *Need more specific information about the grade change on Fifth Avenue. Need details about the transition from the houses to the west.*
- *Need to be cognizant of how the streetscape looks on all sides.*
- *This project will set the tone for development on the other corners of this intersection.*

Josh Lapp:

- *Likes the idea of an outdoor space, but it needs to be an inviting space. Will be interested in how the pedestrian areas are dealt with regarding grade changes.*
- *Likes the proposed architecture. Could the mansard roof be something more contemporary?*
- *Pleased to see the massing broken up and the continuation of commercial along Fifth Avenue to provide walkable retail spaces for all the new residences coming up in the area.*

David Cooke:

- *Pleased to see the changes that have been made, and appreciates the amount of work put into breaking up the massing.*
- *Just as “the Cap” separates downtown from the Short North, thinks that this project can provide a new, north entrance into the village – giving it a portal. It feels like the right corner to do something like this. It can set the area off as unique and different.*
- *This building will not cast shadows into and block sun from residential areas.*
- *Sees the Burwell Station building as being successful, but it seems to be diminished by the “bridge.” Consider moving, lowering, or setting the bridge back, so the Station is more of a stand-alone building.*
- *Thinks the height needs to be brought down a story or two. Does not think the two-story mansard roof is appropriate.*
- *Thinks the height at the west edge along Fifth Avenue needs to step down further to better address the existing neighboring houses.*
- *Will need to see details of the rear elevations.*

Rex Hagerling:

- *Likes that the design really gives the appearance of separate buildings, not one big building.*
- *Overall, likes where the elevations are going.*
- *Does have concerns about the overall height. Would like to see it come down a bit.*

Todd Boyer:

- *Is comfortable with the mass and height.*
- *The mansard roof is a challenge, but thinks it can be worked out. How it is there to create the overall height is the issue.*
- *Likes how it has the appearance of separate buildings that have been built up over the years. Is concerned that this could be a time period on an island, since it is unknown how two other corners at this intersection will be developed.*
- *Street level details will be important. There needs to be a little relief, canopies, or some other features.*
- *The transition, drive-through piece will need to be worked out.*

Ben Goodman:

- *Is in general agreement with most comments of fellow commissioners.*
- *Thinks some details could be eliminated and still be very successful. Agrees that the architecture should not make it an island in time.*
- *Not opposed to the mansard roof, in itself. But, as a two story addition to everything else, it may be a problem.*

- *So many good things going on overall, that maybe some of the structures could be simplified.*
- *Has some concerns about overall height. Some pieces could be less prominent in height and aesthetic.*
- *Will be interested to see how the cast iron storefront will be executed.*
- *Thinks it is most appropriate to continue to develop without balconies.*

NO ACTION TAKEN

19. 15-6-26 (Conceptual)

901 North Fourth Street

Karrick Sherrill/Shremshock Architects (Applicant) Chad Seiber/Mulberry Design Build, LLC (Owner)

An application, site plan, and photographs have been submitted.

Demolition

- Demolish the existing ca. 1898 building, with 1938 brick addition to façade.

New Construction

- Construct one (1) new, four-story, mixed use building, with 32 dwelling units and approximately 2800 sq. ft. of retail space, on the south side of the alley.
- Construct one (1) new, three-story, residential building, with 14 dwelling units, above parking, on the north side of the alley.

20. 15-6-27 (Staff Recommendation)

245 East Second Avenue

Dan Schmidt/JDS Companies (Applicant)

JDS Jeffrey, LLC (Owner)

Item #20 has been moved to the Staff Approved applications following presentation by Historic Preservation Office Staff at the June 9th, 2015 IVC Business Meeting (See Staff Approvals, below).

Install Artwork Murals

STAFF APPROVALS

• **15-6-1**

1088-1090 Mt. Pleasant Avenue

Richard Dietz (Applicant)

Jim Daley (Owner)

Approve Application 15-6-1, 1088-1090 Mt. Pleasant Avenue, as submitted, with all clarifications, as noted.

Remove and Install New Asphalt Shingle Roof

- Remove all asphalt shingles on the main roof, down to the sheathing. Dispose of all debris according to Columbus City Code.
- Replace any/all damaged, deteriorated, and missing sheathing with wood of appropriate dimension, as necessary.
- Install new, 30 lb. felt paper on all sheathing according to manufacturer's specifications.
- Replace any/all damaged and deteriorated metal valleys with new metal valleys of appropriate dimension, as necessary. Valleys are to be smooth not a "W" channel to maintain the appropriate historic appearance and profile.
- Replace any/all damaged metal flashing on all existing chimneys.
- Install new 235 lb., class C, self-sealing 3-tab shingles. Manufacturer and color to be Certain Teed (standard 3-tab), "Nickel Gray."
- All ridges to be capped with galvanized metal ridge roll, in lieu of cut shingle tabs, and painted "gray" or "Tinner's Red."
- Upon completion, all metal valleys and flashing are to be painted "gray" or "Tinner's Red."
- Any/all necessary venting is to be performed on the roof ridge, underneath the metal ridge roll via CobraVent, VentSure, or comparable, in lieu of hat-vents and/or soffit vents.

• **15-6-2**

236 East Second Avenue

Kristina Keeling (Applicant/Owner)

Approve Application 15-6-2, 236 East Second Avenue, for renewal of expired COA # 13-7-8 (Expired: July 5, 2014), exactly as previously approved, for a period of one (1) year.

Remove and Install New Asphalt Shingle Roof

- Remove all asphalt shingles on the house, down to the sheathing. Dispose of all debris according to Columbus City Code.
- Replace any/all damaged, deteriorated, and missing sheathing with wood of appropriate dimension, as necessary.
- Install new, 30 lb. felt paper on all sheathing according to manufacturer's specifications.
- Replace any/all damaged and deteriorated metal valleys with new metal valleys of appropriate dimension, as necessary. Valleys are to be smooth not a "W" channel to maintain the appropriate historic appearance and profile.
- Replace any/all damaged metal flashing on all existing chimneys.
- Install new 235 lb., class C, self-sealing 3-tab shingles. Manufacturer and color to be selected by the owner from the following approved shingles list:

<u>Manufacturer:</u>	<u>Style:</u>	<u>Color:</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> CertainTeed	Carriage House (dimensional)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stonegate Gray
<input type="checkbox"/> GAF	Slateline (dimensional)	<input type="checkbox"/> English Gray Slate <input type="checkbox"/> Weathered Slate
<input type="checkbox"/> Certain Teed	(standard 3-tab)	<input type="checkbox"/> Nickel Gray
<input type="checkbox"/> GAF	Royal Sovereign (standard 3-tab)	<input type="checkbox"/> Nickel Gray
<input type="checkbox"/> Owens Corning	(standard 3-tab)	<input type="checkbox"/> Estate Gray
<input type="checkbox"/> Tamko	(standard 3-tab)	<input type="checkbox"/> Antique Slate

- All ridges to be capped with galvanized metal ridge roll, in lieu of cut shingle tabs, and painted "gray" or "Tinner's Red."
- Upon completion, all metal valleys and flashing are to be painted "gray" or "Tinner's Red."
- Any/all necessary venting is to be performed on the roof ridge, underneath the metal ridge roll via CobraVent, VentSure, or comparable, in lieu of hat-vents and/or soffit vents.

Repair Exterior Door

- Make any/all necessary repairs to the existing, contributing, wooden door on the front elevation, per industry standards.
- All new wood to be of exact same profile and dimension as the original door panels, stiles, and rails; like-for-like.
- Paint color chips for finish coat are to be submitted to Historic Preservation Office staff for final review and approval, prior to application of the paint.

Install New Storm Doors

- Install new, Larson, aluminum, full-view, clear glass storm doors on the front and rear elevations.
- Cut sheet and color chip for the new storm door to be submitted to Historic Preservation Office staff for final review and approval, prior to installation of the door.

Install New Storm Windows

- Install new, low profile, metal storm windows on all windows, as needed.
- New storm windows to be installed inside the existing window frame.

- Storm window color to match the primary trim color as closely as possible.
- Double caulk to provide air-tight installation on top and sides. Do not caulk breather holes.
- Horizontal bar on all new storm windows to align with horizontal sash bar on existing windows.
- Storm windows to operate smoothly.
- All work to be in accordance with the performance manual.

- **15-6-3**

158 East Fourth Avenue

R. Hunter Willett (Applicant/Owner)

Approve Application 15-6-3, 158 East Fourth Avenue, as submitted, with all clarifications, as noted:

Install New Patio

- Remove the existing concrete pavers from the rear yard.
- Install new, approximately 208 sq. ft., Unilock, Thornbury “Bavarian” pavers with dark charcoal paver border, per the submitted product cut sheets.
- Install Brussels Block retaining wall at the edge of the new patio to accommodate the change in elevation between the patio and fence, per the submitted site plan and product cut sheet.

Repair Existing Gate

- Replace six gate boards to extend the gate to ground level. New wood to match existing, like-for-like.

- **15-6-4**

830 Summit Street

Jeremy Marks (Applicant/Owner)

Approve Application 15-6-4, 830 Summit Street, as submitted, with all clarifications, as noted:

Install New Platform Deck

- Remove the existing, deteriorated, 14’ x 14’, platform deck, and build a new platform deck in the same location, per the submitted drawing.
- New deck to measure 16’ x 19’. Height of deck floor to match height of existing deck floor. Balustrade/railings on new deck to match balustrade/railings on existing deck.

Repair/Replace Cellar Stairwell Walls

- Repair or replace the existing concrete, cellar stairwell walls, as needed.
- All work to be in accordance with all applicable Columbus City Building Codes and industry standards.

Install New Privacy Fence

- Remove the existing, rear yard, wood, privacy fence and install a new six-foot high (6’ H), rear yard, wood privacy fence. Site plan for location of all fencing and gates to be submitted to Historic Preservation Office staff for final review and approval, prior to installation of new fence.
- Style of the new wood fence is to be selected from one (1) of the following appropriate options: a) Board-on-board, six-feet high (6’ H), straight-cut or dog-eared (not stockade) wood fence, with finished side out, facing the neighboring properties (i. e. all stringers and posts placed on the inside); b) Board-on-board, six-feet high (6’ H), straight-cut or dog-eared (not stockade) wood fence with vertical 1” x 6” boards placed on both sides of the stringers; or c) Board-on-board, six-feet high (6’ H), wood fence with all straight-cut vertical boards installed with a top and bottom rail cap placed between the 4” x 4” wood posts.
- Fence to be painted or stained within one (1) year. Stain/paint color for all fence to be submitted to the Historic Preservation Office staff for final review and approval.

- **15-6-5**

1208 North High Street

Christopher Bruzzese/Berardi + Partners (Applicant)

Community Housing Network (Owner)

Approve Application 15-6-5, 1208 North High Street, as submitted, with all clarifications, as noted:

Repair/Replace Trim

- Repair/replace the existing, storm damaged, plywood and trim in spandrel panels.
- Remove the remainder of the non-original, storm damaged, sheet metal from the sign band.
- Repair storm damaged sign band, as needed, and install new, wood trim, per the submitted elevation and section drawings.

Note: COA # 15-6-5 is a follow-up to work required by COA #14-9-6, issued 9-5-2015).

- **15-6-6**

1180 North Pearl Street

Randy Hartmeyer/SBA Network Services (Applicant) Stickmen Properties, Ltd. (Owner)

Approve Application 15-6-6, 1180 North Pearl Street, as submitted, with all clarifications, as noted:

Install New Telecommunications Equipment

- Remove six (6) antennas and install six (6) new antennas, per the submitted drawings.
- Install two (2) new non-penetrating roof sleds.
- Remove three (3) RRH's on existing pole, and install nine new (9) RRH's on roof sleds.
- Remove one (1) existing OVP on pole and install two (2) new OVP's on roof sled.
- Install hybrid cables to OVP's.

- **15-6-7**

1031 North Fourth Street

Lauren Culley (Applicant)

Carol Guiler (Owner)

Approve Application 15-6-7, 1031 North Fourth Street, as submitted, with all clarifications, as noted:

Install New Patio

- Install a new patio along the west side of the Fox in the Snow, per the submitted site plan.
- Install new patio fencing; planter boxes and plantings; and counter and benches, per the submitted drawings, fence design, and fence color.
- Install one (1) new 3' x 7' pre-finished, aluminum entry door on the west elevation, per the submitted drawing.

Note: COA # 15-6-7 approves final details for a new patio, as approved with COA # 15-3-9/fence (3-17-2015) and COA# 15-3-8/variance recommendation (3-17-2015).

- **15-6-8**

Southeast Corner- E. First Ave. & North Fourth St. (Jeffrey Manufacturing Site)

Dave Perry (Applicant)

Jeffrey New Day, LLC (Owner)

Approve Application # 15-6-8, Southeast Corner- E. First Ave. & North Fourth St. (Jeffrey Manufacturing Site), with all clarifications, as noted:

Site Compliance Plan

- Approve the final site compliance plan for Jeffrey Park, Phase 2, Community Center, per the submitted site plan, dated May 27, 2015.

Note: COA # 15-6-8 modifies COA # 13-11-18b.

- **15-6-9**

24 East Third Avenue

Citrine Rentals (Applicant/Owner)

Approve Application 15-6-8, 24 East Third Avenue, as submitted, with all clarifications, as noted:

Repair/Replace Concrete Front & East Porch Decks and Steps

- Repair or replace the existing, non-original concrete slab porch deck, and concrete steps, and install new concrete porch deck and concrete steps, as needed, like-for-like, and in accordance with all applicable city code.
- Replace any/all missing or damaged material on the rock-faced concrete block foundation and piers, and replace with new or used rock-faced concrete block of the exact same shape, dimension, and color; like-for-like.
- Use hand tools to remove any/all loose mortar to a depth of ¾”.
- Moisten surface with water, and spot point as needed with mortar of matching color, texture, hardness, and joint profile.
- New mortar must be softer than the masonry, and no harder than the historic mortar, to allow for expansion and contraction of the masonry. Original mortar mix was composed of 1 part lime to 2 parts sand. White 180rtland cement may be substituted for up to (but no more than) 20 percent of the lime content. (The owner is advised to use the approved 12 parts sand-4 parts lime-1 part white 180rtland cement formula approved by the National Trust For Historic Preservation. Please refer to Preservation Brief 2- “Repointing Mortar in Historic Masonry Buildings” <http://www.nps.gov/tps/how-to-preserve/briefs/2-repoint-mortar-joints.htm>).

Repair Masonry Chimney

- Remove all defective material. Replace any/all damaged and missing brick with brick that matches the original material in size, shape, color, and texture.
- New mortar must be no harder than the historic mortar to allow for expansion and contraction of the masonry. Original mortar mix was composed of 1 part lime to 2 parts sand. White 180rtland cement may be substituted for up to (but no more than) 20 percent of the lime content. (The owner is advised to use the approved 12 parts sand-4 parts lime-1 part white 180rtland cement formula approved by the National Trust For Historic Preservation. Please refer to Preservation Brief 2- “Repointing Mortar in Historic Masonry Buildings” <http://www.nps.gov/tps/how-to-preserve/briefs/2-repoint-mortar-joints.htm>).
- All existing clay chimney pots to remain, as is.

Repair Concrete Block Garage

- Remove all defective material. Replace any/all damaged and missing brick with concrete block that matches the original material in size, shape, color, and texture.
- New mortar must be no harder than the historic mortar to allow for expansion and contraction of the masonry. Original mortar mix was composed of 1 part lime to 2 parts sand. White 180rtland cement may be substituted for up to (but no more than) 20 percent of the lime content. (The owner is advised to use the approved 12 parts sand-4 parts lime-1 part white 180rtland cement formula approved by the National Trust For Historic Preservation. Please refer to Preservation Brief 2- “Repointing Mortar in Historic Masonry Buildings” <http://www.nps.gov/tps/how-to-preserve/briefs/2-repoint-mortar-joints.htm>).

- **15-6-11**

910-912 Hamlet Street

Christopher M. Hammer (Applicant/Owner)

Approve Application 15-6-11, 910-912 Hamlet Street, for renewal of expired COA # 13-5-1 (Expired: April 11, 2014), exactly as previously approved, for a period of one (1) year.

Remove and Install New Asphalt Shingle Roof – Rear Porch

- Remove all asphalt shingles on the rear porch roof, down to the sheathing. Dispose of all debris according to Columbus City Code.
- Replace any/all damaged, deteriorated, and missing sheathing with wood of appropriate dimension, as necessary.
- Install new, 30 lb. felt paper on all sheathing according to manufacturer’s specifications.
- Replace any/all damaged metal flashing.

- Install new 235 lb., class C, self-sealing 3-tab shingles. Manufacturer and color to be Owens Corning, standard 3-tab, “Estate Gray,” to match existing shingles on the main body of the house.
- Upon completion, all flashing to be painted “Tinner’s Red” or “Gray” to match ridge roll, metal valleys, and flashing on the main body of the house.

- **15-6-14**

1119 Mt. Pleasant Avenue

Jason Kentner (Applicant)

Rhome Ruanphae (Owner)

Approve Application 15-6-14, 1119 Mt. Pleasant Avenue, as submitted, with all clarifications, as noted:

Install New Landscaping

- Plant new, English Ivy “living fence” along alley between office lot and adjacent post office loading dock, per the submitted site plan and rendering.
- Install new flowering shrub/hedge along south side of existing permeable paving, per the submitted site plan. Planting material along north side to be determined.
- Install new shade tree (in basket) on north side of building, per the submitted site plan and rendering.

- **15-6-15b**

898 Hamlet Street

Allan Hurtt (Applicant/Owner)

Application #15-6-15 has been divided into item ‘a’ for Italian Village Commission review under New Applications (see above), and item ‘b’ for Staff Approval under Staff Approved items.

Exterior Painting/House & Garage

- Repair and/or replace all damaged, deteriorated, and missing wood trim elements, as necessary. All replacement wood to be of exact same dimension and profile as the original wood trim; like-for-like, according to industry standards.
- Prepare all exterior, wooden surfaces on the frame house and garage for repainting using the appropriate hand tools.
- Glaze and caulk as necessary.
- Prime all new and bare wood surfaces with the appropriate exterior primer according to manufacturer’s specifications. Paint color chips for finish coat are to be submitted to Historic Preservation Office staff for final review and approval, prior to application of the paint.
- **Any previously unpainted, masonry (i.e., brick/stone/concrete sills, lintels, porch columns, etc.) is to remain unpainted.**
- **Any previously painted masonry is to be left as is, or be painted to match the original color of the unpainted masonry as closely as possible.**
- Paint color chips for any previously painted masonry are to be submitted to Historic Preservation Office staff for final review and approval, prior to application of paint.

Repair Slate Roof

- Replace any/all missing, damaged, and deteriorated slate on the main roof of the house with new or used slate of the exact same color and profile and in accordance with all applicable City Code and industry standards.

Foundation Repair

- Remove any/all damaged material and replace with like-for-like materials, like-for-like. Replacement stone to match existing in size, color, and shape. Mortar to match existing mortar in color, texture, hardness, and joint profile. The height, thickness, and length of the masonry wall is to remain the same following the completion of all repairs.

- **15-6-23**

17 East Brickel Street

Jami Gray (Applicant)

NAI Ohio Equities (Owner)

An application, photographs, and drawings have been submitted. Request for approval of the awnings, as shown on the drawings, has been withdrawn.

New Signage

- Install one (1) new, non-illuminated, projecting sign, per the submitted drawings.
- Bracket to be ¼" thick aluminum painted "Matthews Matte Black."
- New sign to be a 4" deep, aluminum, fabricated, blade sign.
- Faces to be painted "Matthews Satin White."
- Returns to be painted to match, PMS 661C.
- Icon to be ¼" thick PVC, painted to match, "BM Oxford White," and mounted flush to blade sign.
- Sign to be mounted to existing brick wall. All fasteners to be inserted into mortar rather than into the face of the brick.
- New sign to be located to the right of the front entrance.

- **15-6-10**

819 Summit Street

Densil Porteous (Applicant)

Densil Porteous & Dewit Matthew (Owners)

Converted to the Staff Approved applications following presentation by Historic Preservation Office Staff at the June 9th, 2015 IVC Business Meeting.

Install New Windows

- Remove existing, wood, one-over-one and two-over-two, double-hung sash windows, as noted per the Historic Preservation Office staff June 3, 2015 site visit. Non-deteriorated windows will be retained and repaired, as needed.
- Install new, all wood (interior/exterior) one-over-one and two-over-two, double-hung sash windows. The size of all window openings to remain, as is.
- Cut sheets for all new windows to be submitted to Historic Preservation Office staff for final review and approval.
- Applicant has the option of retaining the Chicago-style window on the façade, or replacing it with two (2) two-over-two, double-hung sash windows, to match those on the second story.

- **15-6-12**

942 Mt. Pleasant Avenue

Clayton Toth/JVB Group, Inc. (Applicant)

Thomas A. Armistead (Owner)

Converted to the Staff Approved applications following presentation by Historic Preservation Office Staff at the June 9th, 2015 IVC Business Meeting.

Rebuild Front Porch Piers

- Remove existing rock-faced, concrete block, front porch piers.
 - Install new, Cantelli, rock-faced concrete block, front porch piers, per the submitted example photograph.
- Note: Approval of a modified style for the new piers is based on currently available materials.

• **15-6-13**

Multiple Locations

Short North Alliance (Applicant)

Multiple Owners (Owner)

Converted to the Staff Approved applications following presentation by Historic Preservation Office Staff at the June 9th, 2015 IVC Business Meeting.

Install New Murals

- Installation of temporary murals at multiple locations for the ‘Short North Arts District Mini Mural’ program for 2015. Locations on file at the Historic Preservation Office.
- Murals are high resolution photographs of works of art transferred to a vinyl material, printed, and heat-adhered to the exterior wall of the buildings.
- Murals to be installed for a period of approximately 12-18 months, and range in size from approximately 8’ x 8’ to 12’ x 20’.
- Any future new murals and/or mural locations to return to the Italian Village Commission for review and approval.

15-6-28 (Staff Recommendation)

283-323 East First Avenue

Rob Harris (Applicant)

Jeffrey New Day, LLC.

Converted to the Staff Approved applications following presentation by Historic Preservation Office Staff at the June 9th, 2015 IVC Business Meeting.

Modify Previous Approval/First Floor Terrace Railings

- Modify the previously approved terrace railings with openings (as shown in submitted photos) to terrace railings without an opening.
- Applicant has the option to install continuous railings or to railings with gates.

• **15-6-27 (Staff Recommendation)**

245 East Second Avenue

Dan Schmidt/JDS Companies (Applicant)

JDS Jeffrey, LLC (Owner)

Converted to the Staff Approved applications following presentation by Historic Preservation Office Staff at the June 9th, 2015 IVC Business Meeting.

Install Artwork Murals

- Install new artwork murals on the south and north walls of the “Battery B” building, per the submitted photo renderings.
- Murals to be hand painted onto the stucco surface. Expected to last 20 years and will fade subtly.

X. OLD BUSINESS

15-6-28 (Staff Recommendation)

283-323 East First Avenue

Rob Harris (Applicant)

Jeffrey New Day, LLC.

This Old Business item has been moved to the Staff Approved applications following presentation by Historic Preservation Office Staff at the June 9th, 2015 IVC Business Meeting (See Staff Approvals, above).

Modify Previous Approval/First Floor Terrace Railings

XI. NEW BUSINESS

- Brief discussion of sidewalks fronting 163-177 Punta Alley.

XII. ADJOURNMENT